United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

	United States of America			
	v.)) Case No. 5:15-MJ-1701-RN			
	JAIRO RAMON COSMOPULOS)			
	Defendant)			
	DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL			
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude the tent the defendant be detained pending trial.	at these facts		
	Part I—Findings of Fact			
□ (1) T	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been			
of \Box a federal offense \Box a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal				
	jurisdiction had existed - that is			
	□ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 23 for which the prison term is 10 years or more.	332b(g)(5)		
☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.				
	☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in			
	.*			
a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses:				
☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:				
	□ a minor victim			
	☐ the possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon			
	☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250			
□ (2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending t federal, state release or local offense.	nitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a		
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed since the ☐ date of conviction ☐ the defendan	t's release		
	from prison for the offense described in finding (1).			
□ (4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably as of another person or the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presu	s. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety erson or the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.		
	Alternative Findings (A)			
□ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense			
	☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in			
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established the defendant's appearance and the safety of the communit	•		
	Alternative Findings	(B)		
(1)	(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.			
Y (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the	safety of another person or the community.		
	Part II— Statement of the Reaso I find that the testimony and information submitted at the determinant of the statement of the Reaso			
bo	ncing evidence a preponderance of the evidence that Based on the defendant's waiver of his/her right to a detention hearing be imposed which would reasonably assure the defendant's appearance For the reasons indicated below there is no condition, or combination of assure the defendant's appearance and/or safety of another person or The nature of the charges The apparent strength of the government's case The The indication of substance abuse	there is no condition or combination of conditions, that can be and/or the safety of another person or the community. If conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonably		
Part III—Directions Regarding Detention				
pending order of	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Gesterrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from personal appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opport of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Govern deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appear.	ns awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody unity to consult privately with defense counsel. On ment, the person in charge of the corrections facility		
Date: J	June 18, 2015	Heat T Numbers II. Judge's signature		
	Dahant	T. Numbers, H. United States Magistrate Judge		

Robert T. Numbers, II United States Magistrate Judge

Printed name and title